



**Skyland General Improvement District
Board of Trustees**

Meeting Notes for November 18, 2021

Larry Sidney, President
Richard Nice, Treasurer
Dana Engelkirk, Trustee

Jon Herwig, Vice-President
Cindy Richter, Secretary

Notice of Public Meeting Thursday, November 18, 2021, 4:00pm

*This meeting will be held electronically using **Zoom**. Any member of the public is welcome to join the meeting using a computer, tablet or smartphone by going to*

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83068050561?pwd=Z0h6VnBhWjhYSVlaU3k2MnM3V25KQT09>

Alternatively, you may dial in via phone at +1 669-900-6833

Meeting ID: 830 6805 0561;

Passcode: 05705

- Pursuant to Section #241 of the NRS and laws of Nevada, the Skyland General Improvement District notifies all parties that the Board of Trustees will conduct business of the District at the above time and place.
- Items may not be heard in the order they are listed, items may be removed or delayed at any time, and two or more items may be combined for consideration.

4:00pm President Sidney called the meeting to order. Audio recording started.

Roll Call, Quorum established, board members present are Sidney, Herwig, Nice.

PUBLIC FORUM: Any person wishing to address the Board on items of interest not listed on the agenda may do so at this time. It is requested comments be limited to three minutes since no action may be taken by the Board on items addressed under Public Forum. Public comment on agenda items will be invited at the time action items are considered, before action is taken.

Public Comments on items not on the agenda

-David Nyre 1037 Golden Mantle-David commented that he had sent photos to president Sidney regarding the beach occurrence and was wondering if the sewer district would help with some of the remediation

-VP Herwig states that the GID is responsible for the storm drains in the neighborhood and he feels it may be the GID who needs to handle it.

-President Sidney commented that he did not think we could discuss this item since it was not on the agenda.

No further public comment

ACTION ITEMS:

A- Discussion and possible approval of October 21, 2021 meeting minutes.

-VP Herwig commented that he had sent secretary Richter some corrections for the minutes but he had not received a copy of the corrected minutes. He would be willing to approve the minutes pending the corrections.

-President Sidney recommends we delay the vote since they did not get the opportunity to review.

B- Discussion and Review of Possible Fence Design

-President Sidney asked VP Herwig to give a short presentation on the final fence design.

-VP Herwig states that we are nearing our final design for the remove and replace fence project. He wants to briefly review the key design elements of the project.

-VP Herwig presented the designs of the emergency entrance/exit at the east end of Skyland. There will be a gate for the emergency entrance/exit and then the wood fence will start at the end of the gate

-VP Herwig showed the design for the fence and landscaping that extends all the way down to the curb at Myron Drive.

-VP Herwig presented that there will be 5 lighting poles that will be evenly distributed along the length of the fence.

-VP Herwig showed a drawing of the fence design which continues to have scalloped design to match the current fence.

-The fence posts will be 6x6 red wood poles. The fence will be 6 feet tall. The dip of the scallop will be 1 foot so at the dip the fence will be 5 feet tall. The fence posts will be encased in concrete in the ground.

-Light poles picked are considered “Dark Sky” compliant for permitting but the TRPA. They will be evenly distributed along the fence.

-The emergency gate will be black metal and the only people that will have access will be emergency responders.

-VP Herwig asked President Sidney if now would be a good time for public comment.

-President Sidney feels that now would be a good time for comments from the public on the design.

-John Peel is curious as to why we are returning to the scallop design of the fence and feels we should keep the fence as tall as possible to allow for as much mass as possible between Skyland and the highway. He feels that the current scalloped design was a poor design to begin with. He feels we should give it a new look and doesn't understand the scallop design. This is why he is

joining in on the meeting. We are trying to correct this design and trying to block out more of the highway.

-VP Herwig states that the main reason for maintaining the scalloped look is that it is the iconic design that Skyland has been associated with. He has only received a few emails regarding wanting a design other than the scalloped look.

-David Nyre agrees with John for a different reason. He feels that the scalloped look creates a lot of waste and may be a higher cost due to labor.

-VP Herwig says he will speak with RO Anderson regarding the cost difference for doing a straight design versus the scalloped design.

-David Nyre is mostly concerned with eliminating the waste.

-John Peel states that the scalloped design will mean more snow coming through the fence from the snow plows. He thinks that the majority of the owners are not going to be pleased with the design.

-President Sidney says that he hears what it being said but cautions against speaking for the majority of the neighborhood. He states that we have heard from a lot of the neighborhood and very few of the comments have centered around the scallop. Out of 75-100 people who have spoken about the fence only 5 have commented on the scallop design. As we know there is not a consensus from the neighborhood on what kind of fence we should have. He does agree that if one design is substantially less expensive than the other then that is a great reason to potentially switch the plan but as John Herwig noted as far as sound and safety are concerned the design will not really have an impact.

- John Peel feels that the main purpose of the fence is to block out as much of the highway as possible. He says he is not mentioning sound or safety he is mentioning cosmetic and common sense. He respectfully does not agree with it and he is making that point clear right now.

-President Sidney says we definitely hear you.

-David Nyre says we previously talked about the emergency exit gate and feels the GID should have the ability to open the gate to clear the snow that will build up there. He feels this is important for keeping the entrance clear so they have emergency access.

-VP Herwig agrees that we should have access and we will work with our emergency responders to work out the details so that we can keep the area clear.

-David Nyre also commented that the gate may affect where the snow removal company can store their equipment.

-President Sidney asked for any further comments regarding the fence design.

-John Peel commented that a 5-foot fence is a lot easier for someone to jump over and it is more of a security issue. He respectfully suggests we reconsider this design. He has been a part of this project for the last 5 years and no one ever suggested keeping the scalloped design. John commented that the whole reason for redoing the fence was to remove the current design.

-President Sidney thanked John for his comments and also thanked him for all of his work on this project.

-David Nyre would like to comment on the street lights. His original suggestion to RO Anderson was to place the lights in between the current street lights so they may be best used in between the current street lights.

-VP Herwig states that they may very well be designed that way and thinks the current design is the same as it was when David was working with RO Anderson.

-President Sidney has asked Jon Herwig to mute the individuals talking over the meeting.

-President Sidney does have a comment about the positioning of the lights. He feels we would want to avoid the aesthetics of uneven placement of the lights just so they would be in between the street lights. So, figuring out a way to have them evenly placed while also obtaining the lighting goal is important. We want to be aware of not having awkwardly placed lights.

-Greg Brooks 1072 Myron Drive commented that a previous design showed the scallop dip actually being a 2-foot drop and he raised the issue at that time that a scallop was not an appropriate way to use our dollars particularly that we are saving money with the posts. He had sent some pictures of the straight design that he hoped we would consider. He doesn't feel the scalloped design moves us forward it keeps us in the past. There are other designs that would look more modern. 5 lamp posts feel like a small number and he thinks 9 would be better considering the lengths we would be putting these lamps and it won't have the 2-foot impact that the old lamps have.

-President Sidney states that we have heard everything from we shouldn't have any lights up because they are a nuisance to there should be lights like there are now to everything in between. Once again, we want to do it well and try and please as many people as possible.

-Greg Brooks hopes that the board will look at putting in more lights if the budget allows and reiterated that the scalloped design keeps us in the past. He feels that it is important to consider a design that is a substantial looking structure that protects us against the freeway and the snow removal issue.

-President Sidney asked for additional comments from anyone who hasn't spoken yet. No comments made.

-President Sidney asked for comments from Treasurer Sidney

-Treasurer Nice states he was surprised that we got a design that drops down and feels a level design may be what we need. He doesn't feel 5 light posts is adequate for the length of the fence.

-President Sidney asked if VP Herwig had anything further or did, he want to move to the next section. He also asked since this was an action item would not voting tonight affect the timeline of the project.

-VP Herwig states that if we didn't vote tonight it may negatively impact the timeline of the project. He feels it would be good to have a final decision tonight so we could move it to permitting on Monday.

-President Sidney is concerned that there are only 3 trustees here to vote because it is a big decision and he was also concerned about the questions that have been raised.

-John Peel spoke up that he appreciated Greg Brooks comments. He feels we should change the color as well. He is worried that we will try to reconstruct what was done back in the 50's. We need a modern straight edge fence with a modern darker color. It is time to move forward.

-President Sidney commented that he hears John Peel's objections but also reminded everyone that many people at the last in person meeting expressed their opinions that we should keep the current fence design and just fix it.

-President Sidney asked VP Herwig and Treasurer Nice if they thought we should vote tonight. R. Nice feels he would like the input from the other 2 trustees. He would like to know about the cost impact of the design regarding scalloped or straight. VP Herwig would like to move forward with the vote.

-President Sidney feels if we wait to do the vote tonight then we need to schedule a meeting right after Thanksgiving for the first week of December so we can vote. We need to finalize a design so we can move forward with permitting. There was a great sense of relief a few months ago that after 5 years or working on this project we had a final vote to move forward. He does not want us to postpone the vote too long and we miss our timeline for the 2022 building season. We all agree on one thing, we need a fence.

-President Sidney is not comfortable with doing a vote with 2 members not being present if we are changing the design.

-Treasurer Nice is OK with postponing the vote for final approval.

-VP Herwig stated that he received an email from Secretary Richter that she was fine with the scalloped design. Trustee Engelkirk never commented so he doesn't know if she has an opinion either way.

-President Sidney asked what the next step has to happen before we can go to permitting.

-VP Herwig stated that we need to decide on scalloped or straight before we can go to permitting.

- President Sidney asked how long it would take us to find out the cost difference for scalloped or straight design.

-VP Herwig does not think there would be much of a cost difference regarding the design but he will find out.

-Treasurer Nice asked if there would be a significant cost to adding more light poles.

-VP Herwig said that there would be a significant cost associated with it but we could add them at a later date. He was concerned about the dark sky requirement from the TRPA so the number of lights may be related to that. We can check to see if the dark sky requirement is why we have so few lights.

- Greg Brooks states that one provision would be to put the infrastructure for additional lights in right now but not actually install them

-VP Herwig stated that another alternative is to augment with solar lights if we felt like additional lighting was necessary. Again, we have to go back and look at the dark sky compliance issues. It could be a way to augment our lighting if we felt it was necessary. Once we get these lights is and see what the illumination is we can decide.

-President Sidney is going to schedule another meeting for 2 weeks because we want to make a final decision so we can get to the permitting process.

C- Discussion and possible approval for acquisition of a USDA Rural Development loan to finance the fence project.

-VP Herwig began discussions on the loan process. He states it has been brought up several times that the total estimated cost associated with the project is around \$732,000. We have been planning on getting a portion of that money through a USDA loan and have gone through almost the complete process to ultimately get that loan. The GID will contribute \$100,000 from our savings to the project. VP Herwig advised that the design fees that we have already spent on the design will go towards our contribution at around \$60,000 that we have already spent. So, for that \$100,000 we have already spent \$60,000. Future design fees and our construction over site will also count against our \$100,000. He estimates an additional \$20,000 is to be spent so we will be spending another \$20,000 to \$40,000 out of the GID savings. We currently have approximately \$540,000 in our GID investments and savings. This will leave approximately \$500,000 in our fund to be able to do other projects in the future. The GID will contribute \$100,000 of the \$732,000.

USDA has agreed to give us the \$632,000 loan and it will be obligated as soon as the federal budget impasse is resolved. This should occur soon possibly on December 3, 2021. This is a 20-year loan and the USDA is estimating now that we will have a 2.125% interest rate although the final interest rate will be determined when the loan is obligated. USDA is estimating that the monthly payment is estimated to be \$3370.00. If you multiply that by 12 that gives us a total of \$40,440 per year that we would be paying on this loan. In addition, the USDA requires us to accrue one year's payment over the first year of the loan so in essence we will be doubling the payment we will make in the first year of our payment to USDA but of course we won't have to pay that the last year of the loan. The GID net income per year is estimated to be over the next 5 years \$62,500 so if you compare this \$40,440 against the \$62,500 and what it's showing us is that we can afford the monthly payment.

-President Sidney stated that this review makes him more at ease that we can make these payments and still be projected to have profit each year. We know that once the fence is built the next step is to do a 5-year plan and get the road updated and get other items updated and if we can avoid dipping too much into our savings that would be good.

-VP Herwig states it preserves our savings and allows us to generate enough net income each year to cover the yearly payment.

-VP Herwig did an overview of the estimated schedule. Possible approval of final design in 2 weeks so all numbers will be pushed back 2 weeks. Submit construction packages 2nd week of December, receive approval mid-February, it won't affect the obligation of the USDA loan. It will affect the procurement of the construction contractor. VP Herwig asked RO Anderson if would be advised to move forward with hiring a construction manager prior to having the permits in hand and they recommended strongly that it would not be a good idea to do that. RO Anderson suggested that we start doing an outreach to various construction companies and let them know that this project is going to be coming down the pike around Feb/March timeframe for procurement for putting together their bids. We are still looking for initiation of construction on the 1st of May 2022 which is the earliest the TRPA will allow us to start the fence construction.

D- Discussion and possible approval of the removal of the kayaks and boards from the beach area

-President Sidney stated that we have about 8 kayaks still on the beach. It's unfortunate that we did at our last meeting make an announcement and we also had in an earlier letter this fall to the residents in an email that we expected them to be removed. Typically, they need to be removed at the end of the season and at the very least we have 4 that are on the sand that we would like to have them off the beach and on the racks. There are some extenuating circumstances, we had implemented a sticker program last year and this year with the changeover of the president in the spring not everything was received and in place for the start of the summer so we didn't do that. We didn't sticker the kayaks and the boards this season to identify them. Also, at the end of the season people were fleeing Tahoe due to the fires. So, we do have some extenuating circumstances this year. We do not want this to be a habit. It is unsightly and we don't want peoples stuff getting damaged during storms. Any comments from other trustees?

-VP Herwig states we either need to enforce the rule or we need to rescind the rule and allow people to keep their stuff down there. Personally, at this point without the additional racks it is unsightly and I think it is best that they be removed but whichever way we go we either need to enforce or get rid of it.

-President Sidney asked John where he would remove them to

-VP Herwig states what we could do is move them all behind where the current racks are and leave them there for a period of time maybe for another 15 days or so and see if people will get their kayaks out of there. If not, we can go through a complete removal from the beach and then

we can discuss what we want to try and do with that. Hopefully moving them to another area so that people know we mean business. We also need to do more outreach so that people know that they need to get their kayaks out of there.

-Treasurer Nice agrees we need to move them and store them when the owners decide they want them then they get the bill.

-President Sidney asked where we would store them?

-VP Herwig said we can continue to discuss this. The main issue is we need to let the community know that we enforce our regulations.

-President Sidney noted that 2 paddle boards were stolen last month that were left on the beach. The mayo family is looking for their boards. He is ok with moving them off the sand and issuing communications to the community. He's not sure how involved we should be with moving items off of the site. He would be willing to try and identify the kayaks that are there and issuing a citation in regards to allowing it to be stored their next summer.

-VP Herwig agrees with the idea.

-President Sidney reviewed that the GID wants to remove the kayaks off of the beach and communicate with the community that they need to be removed in the next 2 weeks or there will either be a fine or removal.

-David Nyre states there are several remedies we can do. People can pay a fine for the GID's trouble to remove and store them. Someone posted that they should have an auction at the beach to sell those. People should take personal responsibility for their stuff. Now its kayaks but eventually it could become anything, picnic tables, umbrellas, you name it. Follow the rules. We could possible some them in the same building that we use to store our records.

-President Sidney asked for further comment. None made. Asked if we need a motion to take action on it?

- VP Herwig asked if we need to have a motion to act on an existing rule?

- President Sidney agrees we probably don't need to do that but if the kayaks are going to be removed off site, then we should probably have a motion because that is not clear in the rules.

- No further comments or actions at this time

- President Sidney hopes that people in the community will take personal responsibility

- VP Herwig suggests that we take this up again at the meeting in December.

- Treasurer Nice suggests that we discuss an action item on removing the boards from the beach at the next meeting in 2 weeks
- President Sidney said in the mean time we will move the kayaks off of the sand and send out the notices to the community and at the next meeting we would be voting on whether to remove them.

E - Discussion and possible approval of membership to Douglas County GID Government Funding Group.

-President Sidney states that it is interesting timing about whether we might get a piece of the pie if a big funding bill came out from the federal government for infrastructure. We certainly feel like our emergency exit is a necessary and an urgent piece of infrastructure. We were thinking about how we might approach that and John Sheridan who is a trustee on the Gardnerville Ranchos GID and he is also with the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities and he sent out emails to gather all of the GID members who wanted to be part of the discussion. He had an idea to work together to source federal funds from the upcoming funding packages as well as from existing means. Larry has been in contact with Mr. Sheridan and this is moving forward. We feel like we are in a perfect position that if funds become available, we have about a \$100,000 bill that we would like to take off of our plate from the emergency gate and have our tax dollars pay. They are asking everyone to approve a formal membership into the group. It's not a financial commitment but an opportunity to work with other GID's to potentially secure some of this funding. Larry Sidney will be the contact but wants to bring it before the board and the community to seek approval. Any comments from the board.

-VP Herwig and Treasurer Nice thinks it is a good idea.

-Motion made by VP Herwig for the Skyland GID stables membership of the Douglas County GID Government Funding Group and designate Larry Sidney as our contact. Treasurer Nice seconded it.

-All members approved. Motion passed.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

A- Update on fence project- this item was discussed earlier in the meeting

B- GID By-Laws

-VP Herwig commented that as part of our loan responsibility to the USDA we have to insert a conflict-of-interest clause into article 5 section 2 of our bylaws, "purchases". This is something that the USDA requires that is a little bit different than the conflict-of-interest requirements that are present within chapter 318 of the NRS which is what the GID's are governed by. We need to establish this conflict-of-interest clause in order to satisfy USDA.

While we were changing the bylaws, we also took a look at other things that needed to be changed and there are a number of additional things being proposed.

One of those is to include the Douglas County Commission Resolution that authorizes Skyland GID to institute parking restrictions within our GID controlled right of way. There are ordinances that are already listed in our bylaws and it is proposed that we go ahead and list this one as well.

It doesn't necessarily mean that we have to take action on it. Taking action on this requires us to actually post NO PARKING signs within the areas, if we determine that we want to restrict parking. Including this resolution only recognizes that we now have that power to be able to institute parking restrictions. John proposes that we put this into the new bylaws that we are drafting.

Another proposed change is to allow trustees to serve in their designated offices for up to 2 years, this has already been passed by the board of trustees several meetings ago, but does need to be included into the bylaws as well.

Article 5, section 1, "Purchasing ad Contracts" NRS 332.039 allows a higher contract amount to be done without having to do bidding than the current GID Bylaws allow. Currently the Skyland Bylaws require if less than \$10,000 there are no bids required. The NRS allows no bids up to \$25,000. It is proposed that we increase our limit to \$25,000.

The current GID Bylaws require bids but no advertising between \$10,000-\$25,000 but the NRS rule allows the amount to be between \$25,000 and \$50,000 where you have to get bids but do not have to advertise.

It is proposed that the GID adopt NRS 332.039 language into our Skyland Bylaws.

VP Herwig would also like to propose that we streamline and combine the fence and lighting committees together.

Description of Assets says "and some of the fencing and pillars along Tahoe Drive". There are a couple of problems with this verbiage. One is the term "some", which ones are covered and which ones aren't? These are not assets they are liabilities. The GID has some discussion and consideration to do regarding responsibilities that we want to take regarding pillars and fencing along Tahoe Drive. We are going to have the same issues soon with the fence along Tahoe Drive that we are currently having with the fence along HWY 50. We don't have the money to be able to improve the Tahoe Drive fence. It is proposed that we delete the verbiage regarding the fencing along Tahoe Drive as an asset.

-President Sidney points out that there was a change in the bylaws regarding the number of years that board members would spend in a position. It used to state that members were in a position for one year and then you had to switch to a different position on the board. That was changed a few years back. It is more that we need to update the written bylaws. That vote is part of the record and we need to update the bylaws to show that.

-VP Herwig states that it currently states that positions need to be changed each year so the bylaws do need to be updated to reflect the current practices.

He feels we could update the bylaws and then do a vote to approve all of the changes at once. He does feel we need input from the board and the public prior to doing that.

-President Sidney also feels we need to make sure that they will be approved before revising the document. We don't want one change to not get approved and then the entire document is affected.

Public Comment

-RJ Clausen 1035 Golden Mantle- our home abuts Tahoe Drive and has a section of the community fence that has fencing and brick columns. It is my understanding since we moved here 43 years ago that the fence was the property of the GID. Is it is not the property of the GID then what is the board contemplating going forward? Obviously, we have nice uniform look going down Tahoe Drive now. My concern is if the GID is saying they are no longer responsible for that fencing then there will be a hodge podge- of all kinds of things in the back or side yards.

-VP Herwig, the issue comes from the fact that the GID does not have the funding available to improve or maintain the fences along Tahoe Drive and we are going to have to come to a meeting of minds in the community as to what we are going to do about that. There are a couple of options to assign that responsibility to the land owners that are long the fence. The second would be that the GID has to retain some responsibility and that should mean that we are responsible for demolishing the fence along Tahoe Drive because it is within the GID right of way. The bottom line is that the GID is not going to have the funding to be able to do what we have done on the HWY 50 fence. And so, we need to come to a conclusion as to how we are going to handle the fences along Tahoe Drive.

-RJ Claussen- It is his understanding that Tahoe Drive is 60 feet wide. Obviously, the asphalt section is not 60 feet wide. He believes that those fences that are at the side of the properties are on GID land so they may be something we want to look into. He suggests to the board that no bylaw changes be made to respect to that section of the bylaws until we figure out what the GID's current obligations are for that section of land and current fencing.

-VP Herwig commented that it is a statement of assets and does not feel that the pillars and fence represent an asset. He thinks we could eliminate it from the bylaws. He hears what is being said but that does not necessarily act the GID doesn't have responsibility for it he just doesn't think it represents an asset

-President Sidney commented that his understanding is that historically when people need to patch up a section of the Tahoe Drive fence, while it matches the fence on HWY 50, people would take some boards from the broken-down area of HWY 50 and fix it. That has been an informal method. He is not aware of the GID spending money on fixing the Tahoe Drive fence. He doesn't want to hamstring an owner into telling them they have to keep that fence as is.

-David Nyre 1037 Golden Mantle, obviously the fence that is along Tahoe Drive was initially done to match the fence on HWY 50. The GID could offer to remove the existing fence and then have the home owner be responsible for the new fence but have specific guidelines for what can be put up. We could meet half way.

-President Sidney states that ultimately that is going to come back to figuring out who's property it is and who is responsible. That is the first piece. When you look at where the fence is located it appears that some sections are on home owner property and some sections are on GID property. There seems to be some inconsistency and we need to get that worked out.

-David Nyre states it could be that the survey that was done 60 years ago isn't accurate. There are easements there as well for utilities since there are poles running along the same area as the fence

-VP Herwig states that on Skyland Drive there are pillars as well and they were put wherever the developer decides to put them. Some were put on GID right-of-way and some were put on personal property. He feels that it may be the same with the Tahoe Drive fence. He thinks that there must be a mixture on Tahoe Drive.

-RJ Claussen- He thinks it would be helpful before we amend that section of the bylaws that we understand the GID's responsibility in connection with those columns and also understand whose property those structures are on. Perhaps we can review previous surveys.

-VP Herwig states then when he was down at the county recorder's office, we were told that the Tahoe Drive right-of-way extends 30 feet on each side of the midpoint of that road. He believes RJ is correct.

-President Sidney states that the county recorder's office said that all midways of the road were not the same. The map may not align 100% from the center of the road.

We need to see if there are any documents that exist from our files or we may have to have a survey.

-RJ Claussen as one of the property owners along Tahoe Drive, he would not want to see the bylaws change before the board and the community knows what we are dealing with.

-President Sidney asked for further comments on the by-law suggestions.

-Greg Brooks 1072 Myron Drive, no comments on the suggestions made so far but he would like to see one added to update article 3 section 4 talks about posting of the agenda. Adding a sentence that adds a requirement to email the agenda at least a week before the meeting.

-President Sidney asked for the rationale to change the timeline from 3 days to 1 week.

-Greg Brooks said it should be emailed at least one week prior to the meeting because people are busy and they need to get it on the calendar.

-President Sidney stated that the meeting are generally set the previous month. But he understands and does want everyone to know when the next meeting is. We need to figure out the best way to notify people. Note taken. President Sidney asked for further comment. None made.

C- Parking Regulations and Enforcement

-President Sidney asked VP Herwig if he already touched on this

-VP Herwig commented on the Douglas County Resolution and that he recommended that it be included into the By-Laws for informational purposes. He said we haven't made the decision regarding posting no parking signs which has to be done in order to implement the parking restrictions. We haven't had any formal discussion at this point.

-President Sidney commented that the main point is determining if there is a mechanism that we would like to use to actually do enforcement. Its' really a summer issue in general and so the discussion is should we be putting up signs which we are entitled to enforce which is no parking in certain areas. How would we enforce that and what would we like that to look like? It would be nice to not have the danger of cars cluttering up the roads where you can't get through when you need to in an emergency. We also have to understand that there will be signs up in your yard.

We need to discuss where we would need to put those signs and what area we want to regulate.

-Treasurer Nice asked who would be enforcing the no parking, the Sheriff's Office?

-VP Herwig states we previously had permit parking for Skyland residents sign at the beach but those signs are gone. The sheriff would send down a deputy and write tickets. We have an enforcement mechanism and the residents of Skyland will need to call the sheriff's office. We could start by putting the signs up. We could use warning leaflets to let people know they were in no parking zones. Also let people know at the beach area the same. We could do informational type things to assist us.

-President Sidney states we need to figure out what areas we need that regulation, what signage we are going to use, where it is going to go, and if the GID will have to pay for it.

-VP Herwig states the resolution does state that the GID must pay for those signs.

-President Sidney states we need further discussion on specifics for the parking issue.

-Next meeting to be established regarding fence approval. Next meeting to be December 1, 2021 at 4:00pm. President Sidney will put an email out within a day or 2 also asking for feedback on the design.

-VP Herwig made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Treasurer Nice seconded it.